

 Math Resolutions, LLC	www.mathresolutions.com Math Resolutions, LLC 5975 Gales Lane Columbia, MD 21045 voice and fax (410) 997-9578 WendelDRenner@comcast.net
---	--

August 27, 2004

Fax to:

David V. Bruce
Patent examiner
U.S. Patent and Trade Mark Office
703-872-9306

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 27 2004

Subject:
Question about
Application No. 09/736,351
Notice of Allowability

I have a question concerning new claim 10. The words "using a pre-patient detector" has been added as we discussed. But then at the end of claim 10 you added the words "wherein said process is performed while treating the patient." It is my understanding the claim 10 is to replace claim 7.

The words "wherein said process is performed while treating the patient" is covered by dependent claim 9 and therefore appears to be redundant to be also in claim 10, assuming claim 9 now refers to claim 10. It does not matter to me as long as dependent claim 8 is still there as well: "The process of claim 10 performed without the patient present."

Sincerely yours,

Wendel Dean Renner
Wendel Dean Renner

*8/27/04 3:10 pm - Reread the notice
and now understand claim 7 remains
with added words, claim 8 and 9 remain,
and 10 added. I no longer have a
question.*